European Journal of Innovation in Nonformal Education (EJINE)
Volume 5 | Issue 12 | Dec - 2025 ISSN: 2795-8612

Psychological Determinants of Parental Responsibility Formation and Parents' Attitudes Toward Children in Family Contexts

Naima Ziautdinovna Rasuleva
PhD, Associate Professor, Department of Social-Humanitarian Sciences, Journalism and Mass Communication University of Uzbekistan, Tashkent, Uzbekistan

A B S T R A C T
Parental responsibility represents a fundamental psychological and social construct that significantly influences children's emotional, cognitive, and social development. In contemporary family systems, rapid socio-cultural transformations, digitalization, and shifting value orientations have altered traditional models of parenting, raising critical questions regarding the determinants that shape responsible parenthood and parental attitudes toward children. The present study aims to examine the psychological determinants underlying the formation of parental responsibility and to analyze how these determinants influence parents' attitudes toward their children. A mixed-methods research design was employed, integrating quantitative survey methods and qualitative semi-structured interviews. The quantitative component involved standardized instruments assessing parental responsibility, parenting styles, emotional regulation, value orientations, and reflective competence among parents. The qualitative phase explored parents' lived experiences, belief systems, and emotional responses in everyday parent–child interactions. Data were collected from parents of primary and secondary school-aged children and analyzed using statistical correlation analysis and thematic content analysis. The findings indicate that parental responsibility is significantly associated with emotional maturity, reflective capacity, internalized moral values, and perceived parental self-efficacy. Moreover, parents demonstrating higher levels of responsibility tend to adopt more supportive, empathetic, and autonomy-promoting attitudes toward their children. Conversely, deficits in emotional regulation and reflective competence were linked to authoritarian or inconsistent parenting patterns. The study underscores the importance of psychological support and educational interventions aimed at strengthening responsible parenthood as a foundation for positive child development and family well-being.

A R T I C L E I N F O
Article history:
Received 15 Oct 2025
Received in revised form 15 Nov 2025
Accepted 22 Dec 2025

Keywords: parental responsibility, parenting attitudes, psychological determinants, family psychology, child development.

Hosting by Innovatus Publishing Co. All rights reserved. © 2025

Introduction

The family remains the primary social environment in which children's personality, emotional stability, moral values, and social competencies are formed. Despite rapid societal changes, the psychological significance of parental responsibility in shaping children's development has not diminished; rather, it has become more complex and multidimensional [1]. Parental responsibility encompasses parents' awareness of their role in a child's life, their readiness to meet children's emotional and developmental needs, and their capacity to regulate their own behavior in accordance with ethical, social, and psychological norms [2].

In recent decades, scholars have increasingly emphasized that responsible parenthood cannot be reduced to the fulfillment of basic caregiving duties alone. Instead, it represents an integrated system of cognitive, emotional, motivational, and behavioral components that guide parents' interactions with their children. These components are shaped by a range of psychological determinants, including emotional regulation [3], reflective competence, moral consciousness, value orientations, and parental self-efficacy [4]. Understanding how these determinants influence parental responsibility is essential for explaining variations in parents' attitudes toward children and the quality of parent–child relationships.

Parental attitudes toward children constitute a central mechanism through which parental responsibility is expressed in everyday interactions. These attitudes reflect parents' beliefs about childrearing, their emotional responses to children's behavior, and their preferred strategies of discipline, support, and communication. Research consistently demonstrates that parental attitudes significantly affect children's emotional security, self-esteem, academic achievement, and social adaptation. Positive, supportive attitudes are associated with favorable developmental outcomes, whereas rigid, inconsistent, or emotionally distant attitudes increase the risk of behavioral and emotional difficulties in children.

Contemporary family environments present new psychological challenges for parents. Increased work–family conflict, economic uncertainty, exposure to digital media, and shifts in intergenerational value systems often place parents under heightened emotional strain. As a result, parents may struggle to maintain consistent responsibility and constructive attitudes toward their children, even when they possess strong intentions to do so [5]. These challenges underscore the necessity of examining the psychological determinants that support or hinder the formation of parental responsibility in modern family contexts.

Despite a growing body of literature on parenting styles and child outcomes, relatively fewer studies have focused explicitly on parental responsibility as a distinct psychological construct and its determinant structure [6]. Moreover, existing research often examines parental attitudes without adequately considering the internal psychological mechanisms that give rise to them. Addressing this gap, the present study seeks to integrate theoretical perspectives from developmental psychology, family psychology, and moral psychology to provide a comprehensive analysis of parental responsibility formation and its influence on parental attitudes toward children.

The objectives of the study are threefold: (1) to identify key psychological determinants contributing to the formation of parental responsibility; (2) to examine the relationships between parental responsibility and parents' attitudes toward their children; and (3) to explore parents' subjective experiences and interpretations of responsibility within everyday family interactions. By adopting a mixed-methods approach, the study aims to capture both measurable psychological patterns and the nuanced lived experiences of parents, thereby offering a holistic understanding of responsible parenthood in contemporary families.

Methods

The study employed a mixed-methods research design that integrates quantitative and qualitative approaches. This design was chosen to ensure a comprehensive examination of parental responsibility as both a measurable psychological construct and a lived experiential phenomenon. The quantitative component aimed to identify statistical relationships among psychological determinants, parental responsibility, and parenting attitudes, while the qualitative component sought to explore parents' subjective meanings, beliefs, and emotional experiences related to responsibility and childrearing.

The study sample consisted of parents raising children of primary and secondary school age. Participants were recruited through educational institutions and community organizations using voluntary participation procedures. Inclusion criteria required participants to be actively involved in daily parenting practices and to provide informed consent for participation. The sample included both mothers and fathers representing diverse socio-economic and educational backgrounds, allowing for variability in parenting experiences and psychological characteristics.

To assess the quantitative variables, a battery of standardized psychometric instruments was administered:

a) Parental Responsibility Scale, measuring cognitive, emotional, and behavioral aspects of responsibility toward children;

b) Parenting Attitudes Questionnaire, assessing dimensions such as warmth, control, autonomy support, and inconsistency;

c) Emotional Regulation Scale, evaluating parents' ability to manage and modulate emotional responses in stressful parenting situations;

d) Reflective Functioning Questionnaire, measuring parents' capacity to reflect on their own and their children's mental states;

e) Parental Self-Efficacy Scale, assessing parents' confidence in their ability to fulfill parenting roles effectively;

f) Value Orientation Inventory, identifying dominant moral and family-related values guiding parental behavior.

All instruments demonstrated acceptable levels of reliability and validity in previous empirical studies and were adapted for the cultural context of the research.

The qualitative component involved semi-structured interviews with a subsample of participants. Interview questions focused on parents' perceptions of responsibility, experiences of success and difficulty in parenting, emotional challenges, and strategies used to regulate behavior and maintain constructive relationships with children. Interviews were conducted in a confidential setting and audio-recorded with participants' consent.

Quantitative data were analyzed using descriptive statistics, correlation analysis, and regression modeling to examine relationships among psychological determinants, parental responsibility, and parenting attitudes [7]. Qualitative data were analyzed using thematic content analysis, allowing for the identification of recurring themes related to responsibility formation, emotional experiences, and parental meaning-making processes [8]. Integration of quantitative and qualitative findings was conducted at the interpretation stage to ensure methodological triangulation.

Results

The quantitative analysis revealed statistically significant relationships between parental responsibility and several key psychological determinants. Correlation analysis demonstrated a strong positive association between parental responsibility and emotional regulation ($r = .62$, $p < .001$), indicating that parents who are better able to manage their emotions tend to demonstrate higher levels of responsibility toward their children. Reflective functioning was also strongly correlated with parental responsibility ($r = .58$, $p < .001$), suggesting that parents' capacity to understand and interpret their own and their children's mental states plays a crucial role in responsible parenting.

Parental self-efficacy showed a moderate to strong positive correlation with parental responsibility ($r = .55$, $p < .001$). Parents who reported greater confidence in their parenting abilities were more likely to exhibit consistent, deliberate, and value-driven parenting behaviors. Value orientations emphasizing family cohesion, moral responsibility, and child-centered values were positively associated with parental responsibility ($r = .49$, $p < .01$).

Analysis of parenting attitudes indicated that parental responsibility was positively related to supportive and autonomy-promoting attitudes ($r = .60$, $p < .001$) and negatively associated with authoritarian ($r = -.46$, $p < .01$) and inconsistent parenting patterns ($r = -.51$, $p < .001$). These findings suggest that responsible parenthood is expressed through emotionally warm, structured, and developmentally sensitive approaches to childrearing.

Regression analysis further confirmed that emotional regulation and reflective functioning were the strongest predictors of parental responsibility, together explaining a substantial proportion of variance in responsible parenting behaviors ($R^2 = .47$). Parental self-efficacy and value orientations contributed additional explanatory power, highlighting the multidimensional nature of parental responsibility formation.

Thematic analysis of the interview data revealed several recurring themes that enriched the quantitative findings. One prominent theme was "responsibility as emotional presence," where parents described responsibility not merely as fulfilling obligations but as being emotionally available and attentive to their children's needs. Parents frequently emphasized the importance of listening, patience, and emotional attunement in daily interactions.

Another key theme was "reflection and self-control," reflecting parents' awareness of the need to regulate their own emotions and behaviors. Many participants acknowledged that responsible parenting requires conscious self-regulation, particularly in stressful situations such as academic difficulties, behavioral challenges, or time constraints.

A third theme, "values as internal guides," highlighted the role of moral and family values in shaping parenting practices. Parents reported that deeply internalized values—often rooted in cultural traditions and personal upbringing—served as internal compasses guiding their decisions and attitudes toward children.

Finally, the theme of "parental growth and learning" emerged, with parents viewing responsibility as a dynamic process rather than a fixed trait. Participants described how parenting challenges prompted personal growth, increased self-awareness, and a gradual transformation of attitudes toward children.

Discussion

The findings of the present study provide compelling evidence that parental responsibility is a complex psychological construct shaped by interrelated emotional, cognitive, and value-based determinants. Consistent with prior research in family and developmental psychology, emotional regulation emerged as a foundational factor in responsible parenting. Parents who can effectively manage their emotions are better equipped to respond sensitively to their children's needs and to maintain constructive attitudes in challenging situations.

Reflective functioning also played a critical role, supporting theoretical perspectives that emphasize the importance of mentalization in parent–child relationships. The ability to reflect on one's own mental states and those of the child allows parents to interpret behavior more accurately and to respond in ways that promote emotional security and autonomy. This finding aligns with attachment-based models of parenting, which underscore reflection as a key mechanism for fostering secure parent–child bonds.

The observed relationship between parental responsibility and supportive parenting attitudes reinforces the notion that responsibility is not synonymous with control or discipline alone. Instead, responsible parenthood involves balancing guidance with respect for the child's individuality and developmental needs. Parents with higher responsibility levels demonstrated greater openness to children's perspectives and a stronger commitment to nurturing independence, consistent with self-determination theory.

The qualitative findings further illuminate the lived experience of responsible parenting, revealing that parents conceptualize responsibility as an ongoing process of emotional engagement, reflection, and value-driven action. This dynamic understanding challenges static views of parenting competence and highlights the potential for growth and transformation throughout the parenting journey.

Importantly, the study also underscores the vulnerability of parental responsibility to contemporary stressors. Emotional overload, limited reflective space, and weakened value integration may undermine parents' ability to sustain responsible attitudes toward children. These insights point to the need for preventive and supportive interventions that strengthen parents' emotional regulation skills, reflective capacities, and value awareness.

Conclusion

The present study demonstrates that parental responsibility is a multidimensional psychological construct deeply embedded in parents' emotional regulation, reflective functioning, self-efficacy, and value orientations. Responsible parenting is closely linked to supportive, empathetic, and autonomy-promoting attitudes toward children, while deficits in key psychological determinants increase the likelihood of authoritarian or inconsistent parenting practices.

By integrating quantitative and qualitative methods, the study offers a comprehensive understanding of

how parental responsibility is formed and expressed within family contexts. The findings highlight parental responsibility as a dynamic process that evolves through emotional experiences, reflection, and ongoing learning.

From a practical perspective, the results emphasize the importance of psychological and educational programs aimed at fostering responsible parenthood. Interventions that enhance emotional regulation, reflective competence, and value-based awareness may contribute significantly to improving parent–child relationships and promoting children's holistic development.

Future research should explore longitudinal patterns of parental responsibility formation and examine the effectiveness of targeted interventions across diverse cultural and socio-economic contexts. Strengthening responsible parenthood remains a critical pathway toward healthier families and more resilient future generations.

References

1. Bandura, A. (1997). Self-efficacy: The exercise of control. W. H. Freeman.
2. Baumrind, D. (1991). The influence of parenting style on adolescent competence and substance use. Journal of Early Adolescence, 11(1), 56–95. https://doi.org/10.1177/0272431691111004
3. Bowlby, J. (1988). A secure base: Parent-child attachment and healthy human development. Basic Books.
4. Darling, N., & Steinberg, L. (1993). Parenting style as context: An integrative model. Psychological Bulletin, 113(3), 487–496. https://doi.org/10.1037/0033-2909.113.3.487
5. Deci, E. L., & Ryan, R. M. (2000). The "what" and "why" of goal pursuits: Human needs and the self-determination of behavior. Psychological Inquiry, 11(4), 227–268. https://doi.org/10.1207/S15327965PLI1104_01
6. Fonagy, P., Gergely, G., Jurist, E., & Target, M. (2002). Affect regulation, mentalization, and the development of the self. Other Press.
7. Maccoby, E. E., & Martin, J. A. (1983). Socialization in the context of the family: Parent–child interaction. In P. H. Mussen (Ed.), Handbook of child psychology (Vol. 4, pp. 1–101). Wiley.
8. Rogers, C. R. (1961). On becoming a person. Houghton Mifflin.