European Journal of Innovation in Nonformal Education (EJINE)
Volume 6 | Issue 9 | Sep - 2026 ISSN: 2795-8612

Theoretical Foundations of Neuroeducation and its Role in Teaching and Learning

Chutanov Baxrom Egamberdiyevich
Teacher of the Gulistan Presidential School
Abdullayev Xurshid Abdusalom o'g'li.
Teacher of the Gulistan Presidential School
O'rozov Islombek Bozorboy o'g'li .
Teacher of the Gulistan Presidential School
Savronov Doston Rustam o'g'li
Teacher of the Gulistan Presidential School

Abstract

This article provides a comprehensive analysis of the theoretical and methodological foundations of neuroeducation and its conceptual significance in the processes of teaching and learning. The author substantiates the necessity of reorganizing education on the basis of learner-centered, brain-compatible, and interdisciplinary approaches. The interrelation of fields such as neuropsychology, cognitive sciences, psycholinguistics, and pedagogy is identified as a key factor in the formation of neuroeducation. The paper further outlines the cognitive and affective orientations of neuroeducation, the schools of thought represented by neurodidactics and neuropsychological education, and their integration with EdTech technologies from the perspective of educational effectiveness. Moreover, the role of neuroeducation in fostering moral, intellectual, and creative maturity in learners is highlighted, presenting it as a paradigm for the future of education.

Publishing Co. All rights reserved. © 2026

A R T I C L E I N F O

Article history:
Received 15 Jul 2026 **Received** in revised form 10 Aug 2026
Accepted 17 Sep 2026

Keywords: neuroeducation, cognitive approach, affective neuroeducation, neurodidactics, neuropsychology, learner-centered education, EdTech, brain function, memory, motivation, personal meaning, innovative education, interdisciplinary approach, neuroplasticity, visual and sensorimotor learning.

INTRODUCTION

Neuroeducation has emerged in the 21st century as a new scientific direction within education, with its theoretical foundation rooted in the necessity of studying teaching and learning processes in close connection with brain activity, the nervous system, and cognitive development [1]. Current educational trends—such as learner-centered approaches, individualized learning trajectories, inclusive practices, and models grounded in emotional intelligence—have stimulated the development of neuroeducation as a scientific framework [2]. This field introduces an interdisciplinary perspective (neurobiology, psychology, pedagogy, cognitive sciences) into the educational process, aiming to increase learning effectiveness and adapt teaching to the nature of the human mind [3].

MATERIALS AND METHODS

The contemporary education system worldwide stands on the threshold of profound reform. One of the key reasons is that traditional models of education fail to adequately address the holistic development of learners' personalities, do not align with the rapidly changing demands of society, and insufficiently take into account learners' individual characteristics [4]. These shortcomings manifest in declining educational effectiveness, lack of student motivation, limited applicability of acquired knowledge to real-life situations, and underdeveloped capacities for innovative thinking and creative problem-solving. In such conditions,

the reorganization of education and its restructuring in harmony with human nature has become an urgent necessity.In an era characterized by the rapid advancement of digital technologies, the exponential growth of information flows, and the increasing prevalence of multimedia content, visual tools, and short-format information in students' cognitive environments, traditional methods of teaching risk becoming ineffective [5]. Under such circumstances, learners are more likely to experience fragmented attention, difficulties in properly processing information, superficial rather than deep engagement with content, and a weakening of critical thinking and reflective abilities. These challenges have created the necessity of investigating, from a scientific perspective, how human cognition functions, how information is received and processed, under what conditions memory is consolidated, and how thinking is structured. This necessity laid the foundation for the emergence of neuroeducation as an independent field of study [6].

Another important factor contributing to the development of neuroeducation has been the growing demand for learner-centered approaches. Every student possesses unique cognitive abilities, emotional states, sensory perceptions, and attitudes toward learning activities. When such individual differences are overlooked and teaching is delivered uniformly, the effectiveness of the educational process inevitably declines. Neuroeducation specifically addresses these differences by personalizing and differentiating learning processes in alignment with the functioning of the brain and natural cognitive rhythms [7].

Furthermore, the evolution of neuroeducation has been strongly influenced by contemporary research in cognitive sciences, neuropsychology, neurolinguistics, and educational psychology [8]. These disciplines have provided scientific explanations of learning-related processes within the human brain, including the reception of information, its storage in memory, and its subsequent retrieval and reconstruction. In particular, the concept of neuroplasticity—the brain's ability to adapt to new knowledge and experiences - has emerged as a critical principle for enhancing educational effectiveness. By leveraging neuroplastic mechanisms, education can be reorganized to foster deeper and more sustainable learning outcomes [9].

The main problems of modern education—such as the lack of learner-centered approaches, the rapidly changing learning environment, declining motivation, and the weak connection between knowledge and real-life application—have been among the driving forces behind the establishment of neuroeducation [10]. Positioned at the intersection of multiple disciplines, neuroeducation offers solutions to these challenges by deeply examining the processes occurring in the human brain and mind. This makes it possible to conceptualize neuroeducation as a contemporary, innovative, and humanistic model of teaching and learning [11].

The theoretical foundations of neuroeducation are intrinsically linked to its interdisciplinary nature. The field has drawn upon and been shaped by the scientific achievements of neuropsychology, cognitive science, psycholinguistics, educational psychology, and traditional pedagogy, all of which have provided a robust theoretical basis. Therefore, a systematic analysis of the theoretical sources of neuroeducational thought, as well as the interconnections between the contributing disciplines, is essential for a comprehensive understanding of its scientific and practical significance. In what follows, the interdisciplinary characteristics, scientific schools, and theoretical perspectives underpinning the development of neuroeducation will be examined in a systematic manner.The formation and scientific grounding of neuro-pedagogy are decisively shaped by the deep interrelations among several disciplines: neuropsychology, cognitive sciences, psycholinguistics, and pedagogy [11]. Each of these fields investigates distinct aspects of human consciousness, cognition, speech, and education, while collectively constructing the theoretical foundation of neuro-pedagogy. Neuropsychology examines the relationship between the brain and mental activity, identifying the neurophysiological mechanisms of learning, memory, attention, and emotional perception. Such findings provide an essential scientific basis for structuring the educational process in accordance with the brain's functions [12].

Cognitive sciences, in turn, study human cognitive abilities, particularly the processes of information reception, processing, storage, and reasoning. Since these processes play a central role in every learner's educational activity, insights from cognitive psychology inform the development of neuro-pedagogical teaching strategies and methodologies. Psycholinguistics, on the other hand, elucidates how information is perceived, retained, and re-expressed through language and speech. The comprehension of literary texts, their interpretation in personal terms, and their re-articulation are explained in close alignment with psycholinguistic approaches.

Pedagogy defines the content, aims, and forms of education, while ensuring the social and moral development of the individual [13]. The multidisciplinary connections among these sciences strengthen the theoretical foundations of neuro-pedagogy and create the conditions for organizing education in accordance with the brain and personal characteristics of learners. As the French scholar S. Deleuze notes: "Neuro-pedagogy, as a science, seeks to explain the connections between the brain, language, thought, and upbringing. It is not only a science of education, but a general concept of human development." [14]

RESULTS

During the formation of neuro-pedagogy as an independent field of study, various schools and directions emerged, enriching its theoretical foundations and offering diverse scientific perspectives on the educational process. These schools specialize in examining the interrelations among brain activity, emotional states, cognitive processes, and learning. Among them, cognitive neuro-pedagogy, affective neuro-pedagogy, neuro-didactics, and neuropsychological education merit particular attention.

Cognitive neuro-pedagogy focuses on the neurophysiological mechanisms of mental activity, including information reception, processing, memory, reasoning, and inference. Its principal aim is to organize the educational process with due regard to the activity of cognitive functions in the brain. For instance, mechanisms such as stages of attention, types of memory, and differences between visual and verbal perception are studied in terms of their implications for teaching.

Affective neuro-pedagogy emphasizes the role of emotions, motivation, empathy, and other affective processes in learning. It investigates learners' emotional engagement, the creation of emotionally safe environments, and the role of affective reinforcement. According to this approach, knowledge is consolidated not only through intellectual activity but also through emotional experience. Affective neuro-pedagogy pays special attention to strengthening motivation, creating a positive psychological atmosphere, and ensuring emotional stability in challenging situations [15].

Neuro-didactics combines theory and practice, addressing methodological issues such as lesson design, selection of learning materials, spaced repetition, stress reduction, and instruction adapted to brain rhythms. It incorporates factors such as functional states of the brain, continuity of attention, and levels of physiological preparedness into the design of the teaching process. Neuropsychological education focuses on children with individual cognitive difficulties and atypical brain development, such as dyslexia, autism spectrum disorders (ASD), and hyperactivity. It develops methods for adapting educational strategies to the needs of children with special educational requirements.

Although interconnected, these directions differ in their research objects and practical orientations. Cognitive neuro-pedagogy centers on intellectual activity, affective neuro-pedagogy highlights emotional perception and personal impression, neuro-didactics addresses instructional design, while neuropsychological education focuses on individual learning difficulties. Their differences demonstrate the complexity, interdisciplinarity, and richness of neuro-pedagogical approaches. Consequently, in the modern educational system, each of these schools holds particular significance, and their integration has the potential to elevate pedagogical effectiveness to a new level.

Within the educational process, cognitive-affective functions such as memory, attention, emotion, and motivation play a decisive role in learners' ability to acquire, process, and apply knowledge. From a neurobiological perspective, these processes are associated with specific brain structures and neurochemical mechanisms. For example, attentional control is largely localized in the prefrontal cortex, ensuring selective focus and information filtering. Memory is linked to the hippocampus and surrounding limbic system, which are responsible for both short-term and long-term storage. Emotions and motivation are primarily regulated by the amygdala and the dopaminergic system. As neuropsychologist J. Medina observes: "The more emotionally powerful a piece of information is, the better it is remembered. Emotion and memory are inseparably interconnected." [2, p. 73]

Memory serves as the principal neuropsychological mechanism of learning, enabling the processing, organization, and long-term storage of knowledge. New information is initially retained in short-term memory and, through reasoning and its association with personal experience, is transferred into long-term memory. Meaningful, emotionally rich, and experience-based information is more effectively consolidated in long-term storage. Such knowledge later serves as the foundation for analytical reasoning, comparison, creative thinking, and the development of personal perspectives.Attention is the learner's ability to mobilize internal focus towards information. In the brain, it ensures the selective reception of information, protection

against distractions, and sustained engagement in the learning process. When the level of attention is low, knowledge is not effectively assimilated. Therefore, in neuro-pedagogical approaches, strategies such as interval learning, dynamic activities, audiovisual tools, and sensorimotor exercises are recommended to maintain attentional activity.

DISCUSSION

Emotion and motivation are also decisive psychophysiological components of learning. In a positive emotional environment, with a sense of self-confidence and encouragement, learners assimilate knowledge more effectively. The amygdala, the emotional reaction center of the brain, regulates feelings such as joy, interest, fear, or anxiety during the learning process. If the educational process is organized in a stress-free, engaging, and personally relevant way, the activity of the dopamine system increases, thereby strengthening motivation and memory retention. Furthermore, a learner's self-awareness, goal setting, and inspiration from success stimulate intrinsic motivation. In this respect, organizing education by considering the neurobiological foundations of memory, attention, emotion, and motivation, while adapting the learning process to personal needs and capabilities and creating an emotionally supportive environment, significantly enhances the effectiveness of learning. This represents one of the core directions of applying the theoretical foundations of neuro-pedagogy in practice.

The learner-centered model of education is one of the most important priorities of modern pedagogy. This model places the learner at the center of the educational process—not as a passive receiver of information, but as an active meaning-maker, independent thinker, and developing subject whose needs and abilities are respected. Within such a model, the role of neuro-pedagogy is invaluable. Since neuro-pedagogy studies the functioning of the human brain and mind on a scientific basis, it offers the organization of education in accordance with the learner's neurophysiological characteristics. This creates the opportunity to design an individualized learning environment that is aligned with natural rhythms and oriented toward positive emotional support.

In learner-centered education, many factors are taken into account, such as each learner's pace of learning, ability to maintain attention, type of memory, emotional sensitivity, and cognitive channel (visual, auditory, kinesthetic). Neuro-pedagogical approaches aim to identify and address these factors by selecting appropriate teaching strategies and presenting educational material in a lively, engaging, and multisensory manner. In doing so, the learner's natural intellectual potential is considered, and the opportunity for their abilities to be fully realized is created. For example, tasks can be made more challenging for fast thinkers, while learners who acquire knowledge more slowly can be supported through interval repetition and visual reinforcement. In this context, researcher L.A. Tsvetkova emphasizes: "Learner-centered education is, above all, a neuro-pedagogical system that corresponds to the brain's cognitive processes and develops human conscious activity." [3; 122]

CONCLUSION

In our view, this statement highlights the need to perceive learner-centered education not only as a methodological approach but also as a structure based on neurobiological and psychophysiological processes. This model provides a complete scientific foundation for education aimed at influencing human consciousness and contributes to the learner's growth as a personality. Such a neuro-pedagogical model ensures the development of fundamental emotional impressions, personal engagement, social interaction, and active meaning-making during the learning process.As a result, education becomes not only a means of transmitting knowledge but also an effective platform for the learner's full intellectual, emotional, and moral development.The influence of neuro-pedagogy on the educational process is evident not only in theoretical approaches but also in practical aspects such as the organization of the learning environment, the structure of lessons, and the choice of teaching methods. The effectiveness of learning depends not only on the content itself but also on the conditions under which it is presented and the methods by which it is delivered. Neuro-pedagogy suggests that the learning environment should be designed in accordance with the functioning of the human brain, and that lessons should be structured based on the brain's natural rhythms and neurofunctional capacities.

References:

1. S. Deleuze, Neuropsychologie et pédagogie. Paris, France: L'Harmattan, 2011, p. 94.

2. D. Medina, Pravila mozga: Chto stoit znat' o mozge vam i vashim detyam. Moscow, Russia: Mann, Ivanov and Ferber, 2018, p. 73.
3. L. A. Tsvetkova, Neuropsychology and Education. Moscow, Russia: Academic Project, 2016, p. 122.
4. S. Yoon, Brain-Based Learning Environment and Instruction. Seoul, South Korea: Korean Education Press, 2018, p. 56.
5. J. Willis, Research-Based Strategies to Ignite Student Learning. Alexandria, VA, USA: Association for Supervision and Curriculum Development, 2006, p. 38.
6. T. V. Akhutina, Neuropsychology of School Age. Moscow, Russia: VLADOS, 2013, p. 89.
7. M. Saluovitta, Neurodidactics in Practice: Rethinking Teaching Methods. Helsinki, Finland: EDUFIN, 2017, p. 92.
8. T. Tokuhama-Espinosa, Mind, Brain, and Education Science: A Comprehensive Guide to the New Brain-Based Teaching. New York, NY, USA: W. W. Norton & Company, 2010.
9. M. H. Immordino-Yang and A. R. Damasio, "We feel, therefore we learn: The relevance of affective and social neuroscience to education," Mind, Brain, and Education, vol. 1, no. 1, pp. 3–10, 2007, doi: 10.1111/j.1751-228X.2007.00004.x.
10. P. A. Howard-Jones, "Neuroscience and education: Myths and messages," Nature Reviews Neuroscience, vol. 15, no. 12, pp. 817–824, 2014, doi: 10.1038/nrn3817.
11. D. Ansari, B. De Smedt, and R. H. Grabner, "Neuroeducation—A critical overview of an emerging field," Neuroethics, vol. 5, no. 2, pp. 105–117, 2012, doi: 10.1007/s12152-011-9119-3.
12. Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development, Understanding the Brain: The Birth of a Learning Science. Paris, France: OECD Publishing, 2007, doi: 10.1787/9789264029132-en.
13. D. A. Sousa, How the Brain Learns, 5th ed. Thousand Oaks, CA, USA: Corwin, 2016.
14. E. Jensen, Brain-Based Learning: The New Paradigm of Teaching, 2nd ed. Thousand Oaks, CA, USA: Corwin Press, 2008.
15. A. Diamond, "Executive functions," Annual Review of Psychology, vol. 64, pp. 135–168, 2013, doi: 10.1146/annurev-psych-113011-143750.